arXiv:0908.4354v3 [math.AG] 25 May 2010

ON THE B-CANONICAL SPLITTINGS OF FLAG VARIETIES

CHUCK HAGUE

Abstract. Let G be a semisimple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. In this note, we show that an irreducible closed subvariety of the flag variety of G is compatibly split by the unique canonical Frobenius splitting if and only if it is a Richardson variety, i.e. an intersection of a Schubert and an opposite Schubert variety.

1. Introduction

Let G be a semisimple simply-connected algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic and let $B \subseteq G$ be a Borel subgroup. By work of Mathieu [6] and Ramanathan [8] (cf also [3], §2.3, §4.1-4.2 and [11]), the flag variety G/B admits a unique B-canonical splitting that compatibly splits all intersections of Schubert and opposite Schubert varieties (the so-called Richardson varieties). In this note, we show that the converse holds: Any irreducible closed subvariety of G/B that is compatibly split by ψ is a Richardson variety.

Here is an outline of the proof. We first show in Theorem 2.11 that if φ is a splitting of a normal variety X such that φ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power and such that the divisor of zeroes $Z(\varphi)$ generates a normal intersection compatible system $\mathfrak{X}$ (cf Definitions 2.2 and 2.8 below), then a closed irreducible subvariety $Z \subseteq X$ is compatibly split by φ if and only if $Z \in \mathfrak{X}$. Furthermore, let ψ denote the unique B-canonical splitting of G/B; then ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power and $Z(\psi)$ generates an intersection compatible system whose elements are precisely the Richardson varieties. It is straightforward to check (cf Theorem 3.3) that the set of Richardson varieties is a normal system. The result then follows immediately.

This note is based on a question asked by Allen Knutson. After I obtained this result, he informed me that he has independently obtained the same result. I would also like to thank Michel Brion, Shrawan Kumar, George McNinch, and Karl Schwede for helpful comments and discussions.

2. Splitting facts

2.1. **Review of Frobenius splitting methods.**

In this section we review the theory of Frobenius splitting. The main references are [3] and the seminal paper [7]. In the sequel we assume that all varieties are over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic p.

Let X be a scheme over k. We define a morphism F_X of schemes over $\mathbb{F}_p$ as follows. Set $F_X(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$ and define $F_X^{\#} : \mathcal{O}_X \to (F_X)_* \mathcal{O}_X$ to be the p^{th} power map $f \mapsto f^p$; this is clearly an $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear map. Note that F_X is not a morphism of schemes over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. This morphism is called the **absolute Frobenius morphism**. When the context is clear we'll drop the subscript and just write F.

Definition 2.1. We say that X is **Frobenius split** if there is an $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear map $\varphi : F_* \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $\varphi \circ F^{\#}$ is the identity map on $\mathcal{O}_X$.

Let $\mathcal{E}nd_F(\mathcal{O}_X)$ denote the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear maps $F_* \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$. Then $\mathcal{E}nd_F(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules, where the $\mathcal{O}_X$-action is given by $(f.a)(h) = f \cdot (a(h))$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$, $h \in F_* \mathcal{O}_X$, and $a \in \mathcal{E}nd_F(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Set $\mathrm{End}_F(X) := H^0\big(X,\, \mathcal{E}nd_F(\mathcal{O}_X)\big)$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_F(X)$ be a splitting of X. We say that a closed subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ is **compatibly split** by φ if $\varphi(F_* \mathscr{I}) \subseteq \mathscr{I}$, where $\mathscr{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ is the ideal sheaf of Y. We have the following useful facts:

(i) $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_F(X)$ is a splitting of X if and only if $\varphi|_U$ it is a splitting of U, for any dense open subvariety $U \subseteq X$.
(ii) If $Y \subseteq X$ is a closed subvariety that is compatibly split by a splitting φ of X, then each irreducible component of Y is also compatibly split.
(iii) If Y and Z are closed subvarieties of X that are compatibly split by a splitting φ of X, then the set-theoretic intersection $Y \cap Z$ and union $Y \cup Z$ are also compatibly split.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a normal variety. Let X^{sing} denote the singular locus of X and let X^{reg} denote the regular locus. Recall that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\omega_X^n = i_* \omega_{X^{\text{reg}}}^n$, where $i : X^{\text{reg}} \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion. Let $s \in H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)$; then we set $Z(s) := \overline{Z(s|_{X^{\text{reg}}})}$, where by $Z(s|_{X^{\text{reg}}})$ we mean the (set-theoretic) zero set of $s|_{X^{\text{reg}}}$. Also, we say that s is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power if the restriction of s to X^{reg} is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power.

If X is an H-scheme for an algebraic group H, then there is a natural action of H on $\mathrm{End}_F(X)$ given by

$$(h.\varphi)(f) \;=\; h(\varphi(h^{-1} f))\,,$$

for all $h \in H$, $\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_F(X)$, and $f \in F_* \mathcal{O}_X$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a normal variety. Then there is a $\mathcal{O}_X$-module isomorphism $\mathcal{E}nd_F(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_X^{1-p}$. Furthermore, if X is an H-variety for an algebraic group H, then the induced isomorphism $End_F(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)$ is compatible with the H-structure on $End_F(X)$ defined above and the natural H-structure on $H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)$.*

Using this theorem, we will from now on consider Frobenius splittings to be elements of $H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)$.

We also recall the following facts (cf [3], Exercises 1.3.E (3) and (4)): Assume that X is normal and let D be a closed subvariety of pure codimension 1. Similarly to above, we set

$$\omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big) \;:=\; i_* \omega_{X^{\text{reg}}}^{1-p}\big((1-p)(D \cap X^{\text{reg}})\big)\,,$$

where $i : X^{\mathrm{reg}} \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion. We have a similar definition for $\omega_X^{-1}(-D)$. Let $\varphi \in H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)$ be a splitting of X. Then we have:

(i) D is compatibly split by φ if and only if φ is in the image of the natural morphism

$$H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)\big) \hookrightarrow H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)\,.$$

Further, if φ compatibly splits D then the degree of vanishing of φ on D is exactly $p-1$.

(ii) If φ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power then D is compatibly split if and only if $D \subseteq Z(\varphi)$.

(Although these results are stated in [3] only for the smooth case, it is easy to check that the results extend to the normal case). In particular, if φ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power and D is a compatibly split divisor, then φ is in the image of the $(p-1)^{st}$ power map

$$H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{-1}(-D)\big) \xrightarrow{\otimes(p-1)} H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)\big) \hookrightarrow H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)\,.$$

We will need the following result from [5].

Proposition 2.4. *([5], Proposition 2.1) Let X be a smooth variety and let $\varphi \in H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)$ be a splitting of X. If $Y \subsetneq X$ is compatibly split by φ, then $Y \subseteq Z(\varphi)$.*

2.2. **Three lemmas on splittings of normal varieties.**

We will need the three following technical results.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a normal irreducible variety and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on X. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and let $s \in H^0(X,\, \mathcal{L}^n)$ be such that $s|_U$ is an n^{th} power on some nonempty open set $U \subseteq X$. Then s is an n^{th} power.*

Proof. Let $t \in H^0(U,\, \mathcal{L}|_U)$ be such that $t^n = s|_U$. Since s has no poles on $X \setminus U$, neither does t. Hence, as X is normal, t extends to a global section $a \in H^0(X,\, \mathcal{L})$. Since $a^n|_U = s|_U$, we must have $a^n = s$ and hence s is an n^{th} power. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a normal variety. Let $\psi \in H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)$ be a splitting and let $D \subseteq X$ be an compatibly split irreducible normal prime divisor. If ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power, then so is the induced splitting of D.*

Proof. Since X is normal, $D^{\mathrm{reg}} \cap X^{\mathrm{reg}} \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.5, it suffices to check that the restriction of ψ from X^{reg} to $D^{\mathrm{reg}} \cap X^{\mathrm{reg}}$ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power, so we may assume that X and D are smooth. The result now follows from the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{-1}(-D)\big) & \xrightarrow{\otimes(p-1)} & H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}((1-p)D)\big) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0\big(D,\, \omega_D^{-1}\big) & \xrightarrow{\otimes(p-1)} & H^0\big(D,\, \omega_D^{1-p}\big) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the natural maps induced by the isomorphism $\omega_X^{-1}(-D)|_D \cong \omega_D^{-1}$. □

For any $s \in H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)\big)$, we let (as above) $Z(s)$ denote the closure of $Z(s|_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}})$; note that if $\omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)$ is a line bundle this agrees with the usual definition of the zero set of s. We have a similar definition for $Z(s)$ when $s \in H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{-1}(-D)\big)$.

Recall the following result ([1], Proposition 16.4): If X is a normal variety and D is a normal subvariety of X of pure codimension 1 such that $\omega_X^{-1}(-D)$ is a line bundle on X, then $\omega_X^{-1}(-D)|_D \cong \omega_D^{-1}$. In this case, we clearly also have $\omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)|_D \cong \omega_D^{1-p}$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let X be a normal, irreducible variety and let $D \subseteq X$ be a normal prime divisor such that $\omega_X^{-1}(-D)$ is a line bundle on X. Let*

$$p : H^0\Big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)\Big) \to H^0\big(D,\, \omega_D^{1-p}\big)$$

be the map induced by the isomorphism $\omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)|_D \cong \omega_D^{1-p}$ and choose $s \in H^0\Big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)\Big)$. Then $Z(p(s)) = Z(s) \cap D$.

Proof. By passing to a small open set, we may assume that X is affine and that $\omega_X^{-1}(-D)$ trivializes on X. Then $\omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)$ trivializes on X as well. Let $\theta \in H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)\big)$ be a trivialization; then $\theta|_D$ is a trivialization of $H^0\big(D,\, \omega_D^{1-p}\big)$. Thus, using θ, the map p identifies with a surjection $p' : \mathcal{O}_X \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D$. Since p is just section restriction, p' is the natural restriction of functions; but then the result follows from the corresponding result for functions. □

2.3. **Systems of subvarieties.**

Definition 2.8. Let X be a variety. Following [10], we say that a set $\mathfrak{X}$ of closed irreducible subvarieties of X is **intersection compatible** if the set of finite unions of elements of $\mathfrak{X}$ is closed under set-theoretic intersection. If A is a set of closed subvarieties of X, let $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ denote the minimal intersection-compatible system containing A. That is, $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ is the system obtained by iteratively taking set-theoretic intersections and irreducible components.

For any intersection compatible system $\mathfrak{X}$ and any $Y \in \mathfrak{X}$, set

$$\mathfrak{X}^Y := \{Y\} \cup \mathfrak{S}\big(\{E \in \mathfrak{X} : E \text{ is a divisor of } Y\}\big)\,,$$

an intersection compatible subsystem of $\mathfrak{X}$. Also set $\overline{\mathfrak{X}^Y} := \{Z \in \mathfrak{X} : Z \subseteq Y\}$, another intersection compatible subsystem of $\mathfrak{X}$. Clearly $\mathfrak{X}^Y \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{X}^Y}$.

We say that an intersection compatible system $\mathfrak{X}$ is **normal** if: (1) All elements of $\mathfrak{X}$ are normal; (2) For all $Y \in \mathfrak{X}$ we have $\mathfrak{X}^Y = \overline{\mathfrak{X}^Y}$; and (3) for all $Y \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $Y^{\mathrm{sing}} \neq \emptyset$ and for every irreducible component Z of Y^{sing}, there is a prime divisor D of Y such that $Z \subseteq D$ and $D \in \mathfrak{X}$. Note that (2) implies that for every $Y \in \mathfrak{X}$, $\mathfrak{X}^Y$ is a normal intersection compatible subsystem of $\mathfrak{X}$.

Given a Frobenius splitting ψ of a variety X, let D be the union of all prime divisors compatibly split by ψ and set $\mathfrak{X}_\psi := \{X\} \cup \mathfrak{S}(D)$. In this case, the set of finite unions of elements of $\mathfrak{X}_\psi$ is closed even under scheme-theoretic intersection, not just set-theoretic intersection.

Remark 2.9. Although it is clear that every element of $\mathfrak{X}_\psi$ is a compatibly split subvariety, I don't know if the converse holds in general; although see Theorem 2.11 below for a partial converse.

Lemma 2.10. *Let X be a normal variety and let $\psi \in H^0\big(X, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)$ be a splitting of X such that ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power and $\mathfrak{X}_\psi$ is a normal intersection compatible system. Let $D \subseteq X$ be a compatibly split prime divisor and let φ be the induced splitting of D. Then we have $\mathfrak{X}_\varphi = \mathfrak{X}_\psi^D$. In particular, $\mathfrak{X}_\varphi$ is also a normal system.*

Proof. Set $\mathfrak{Y} := \{Z \in \mathfrak{X}_\psi : Z \text{ is a divisor in } D\}$. Since φ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power, it suffices to check that $\mathfrak{Y}$ is precisely the set of irreducible components of $Z(\varphi)$. Since ψ compatibly splits D, it is in the image of the natural map $\omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big) \hookrightarrow \omega_X^{1-p}$, and we will think of ψ as a section of $\omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)$. Let $Z'(\psi)$ denote the zero set of ψ considered as a section of $\omega_X^{1-p}\big((1-p)D\big)$; then $Z'(\psi)$ is the union of all irreducible components of $Z(\psi)$ other than D.

We first check that each irreducible component of $Z(\varphi)$ is in $\mathfrak{Y}$. If $Z(\varphi) = \emptyset$ the result is trivial, so assume that $Z(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Let E be an irreducible component of $Z(\varphi)$. Assume, to the contrary, that for every compatibly split prime divisor $D' \neq D$ of X we have that E is not an irreducible component of $D' \cap D$. Since ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power, this implies that E is not an irreducible component of $D' \cap D$ for any irreducible component D' of $Z(\psi)$. In particular, $E \nsubseteq Z'(\psi)$. Replacing X with $X \setminus Z'(\psi)$, we restrict to the case where $Z'(\psi) = \emptyset$.

Since ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power, we can write $\psi = \sigma^{p-1}$ for some $\sigma \in H^0\big(X, \omega_X^{-1}(-D)\big)$. Since ψ has no zeroes on X, neither does σ. Hence σ provides a trivialization $\omega_X^{-1}(-D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$ on X^{reg}. Since $\omega_X^{-1}(-D)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X$ are reflexive, this trivialization extends to an isomorphism on X; in particular, $\omega_X^{-1}(-D)$ is a line bundle on X. Thus, by Lemma 2.7, $Z(\varphi) = Z'(\psi) \cap D = \emptyset$, which is false (note that D is normal since $\mathfrak{X}_\psi$ is a normal system). Hence $E \in \mathfrak{Y}$.

Conversely, to see that $\mathfrak{Y} \subseteq Z(\varphi)$, let $E \in \mathfrak{Y}$; then, as E is compatibly split by ψ, it is also compatibly split by φ, and the result obtains since $E \cap D^{\mathrm{reg}} \neq \emptyset$. □

Theorem 2.11. *Let X be a normal variety and let ψ be a splitting of X such that ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power and $\mathfrak{X}_\psi$ is a normal intersection compatible system. Then a closed irreducible subvariety Z of X is compatibly split by ψ iff $Z \in \mathfrak{X}_\psi$.*

Proof. The "if" part is clear. We prove the converse by induction on $\dim X$. If $\dim X = 0$ then the result is trivial; now assume that the result holds for all normal varieties of dimension $< n$ that satisfy the conditions of the theorem. Let X be a normal variety of dimension n and let ψ be a splitting of X such that ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power and $\mathfrak{X}_\psi$ is a normal system. Let $Z \subseteq X$ be compatibly split by ψ.

I first claim that there is $D \in \mathfrak{X}_\psi$ such that D is a divisor of X and $Z \subseteq D$. If $Z \cap X^{\mathrm{reg}} \neq \emptyset$ then $Z \cap X^{\mathrm{reg}} \subseteq Z(\psi)$ by Proposition 2.4, and hence there is an irreducible component D of $Z(\psi)$ such that $Z \subseteq D$. Since ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power, D is compatibly split by ψ, so $D \in \mathfrak{X}_\psi$, as desired. On the other hand, if $Z \subseteq X^{\mathrm{sing}}$, let E be an irreducible component of X^{sing} containing Z. Since $\mathfrak{X}_\psi$ is a normal system there is a prime divisor D of X such that $Z \subseteq E \subseteq D$ and $D \in \mathfrak{X}_\psi$. Hence the claim holds.

Let φ denote the induced splitting of D; we now obtain an intersection compatible system $\mathfrak{X}_\varphi$ on D. By Lemma 2.6, φ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power, and by Lemma 2.10, $\mathfrak{X}_\varphi = \mathfrak{X}_\psi^D$ is a normal intersection compatible system containing Z. The result now follows by the induction hypothesis. □

3. Compatibly split subvarieties of flag varieties

Let G be a semisimple simply-connected algebraic group over k. Fix a maximal torus $T \subseteq G$ and a Borel subgroup B of G containing T. Recall that the **weights** of G are the algebraic group homomorphisms $T \to k^*$. For any weight λ of G let $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ denote the equivariant bundle $G \times^B k_{-\lambda}$ on G/B with fiber $k_{-\lambda}$, and set

$$H^0(\lambda) := H^0\big(G/B,\, \mathcal{L}(\lambda)\big)\,.$$

Let ρ denote the half-sum of the positive roots of G and set $\mathrm{St} := H^0((p-1)\rho)$, the **Steinberg module**.

Definition 3.1. A Frobenius splitting ψ of a B-variety X is called **B-canonical** if there is a B-equivariant morphism $\theta : \mathrm{St} \otimes k_{(p-1)\rho} \to H^0\big(X,\, \omega_X^{1-p}\big)$ such that $\psi = \theta(f_- \otimes f_+)$, where $f_- \in \mathrm{St}$ is any nonzero lowest weight vector and $f_+ \in k_{(p-1)\rho}$ is any nonzero vector.

For any w in the Weyl group W of G set $C_w := BwB/B$, the Schubert cell in G/B corresponding to w and let $X_w := \overline{C_w}$ denote the Schubert variety corresponding to w. We similarly have the opposite Schubert cell $C^w := B^- wB/B$ and opposite Schubert variety $X^w := \overline{C^w}$. For any pair $v, w \in W$ set $C_w^v := C_w \cap C^v$ and $X_w^v := X_w \cap X^v$; these varieties are called **Richardson varieties**.

The following is essentially due to Mathieu [6] (see the comments following Lemma 2.3); see also §2.3 and §4.1 in [3] and §4.3 in [11].

Theorem 3.2. *There is a unique B-canonical ψ splitting of G/B. Moreover, ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power, and $Z(\psi)$ is the union of the codimension-1 Schubert and opposite Schubert varieties. In particular, $\mathfrak{X}_\psi$ is precisely the set of all Richardson varieties.*

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of ψ is known (see the references above). We now check that ψ is a $(p-1)^{st}$ power. Indeed, let $m : H^0(\rho) \otimes H^0(\rho) \to H^0(2\rho)$ be the multiplication map; then $\psi = m(v_+ \otimes v_-)^{\otimes(p-1)}$, where v_+ (resp. v_-) is a nonzero highest (resp. lowest) weight vector in $H^0(\rho)$. Finally, the facts about $Z(\psi)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_\psi$ follow from the proof of Theorem 2.3.1 in [3]. □

Parts (i), (ii), and (iii) of the following theorem are just a restatement of Theorem 3.2 in [9] and Lemma 1 of [4].

Theorem 3.3. *Let $v, w \in W$.*

(i) X_w^v is nonempty if and only if $v \leq w$, in which case X_w^v is a normal irreducible variety of dimension $l(w) - l(v)$. Furthermore, $X_{w'}^{v'} \subseteq X_w^v$ if and only if $v \leq v' \leq w' \leq w$.
(ii) C_w^v is an open nonsingular subvariety of X_w^v.
(iii) The boundary $\partial X_w^v := X_w^v \setminus C_w^v$ of X_w^v is a union of Richardson varieties.

In particular, the set of Richardson varieties forms a normal intersection compatible system.

Proof. We need to verify the "in particular" part. By (i) each Richardson variety is normal, so part (1) of the definition of a normal system is satisfied. By (ii) and (iii), the singular locus of a Richardson variety is contained in a union of Richardson varieties, and by (i) every Richardson subvariety of a Richardson variety X_w^v is contained in a Richardson divisor of X_w^v. Thus part (3) of the definition of a normal system follows. We now need to check part (2) of the definition of a normal system.

We show, by induction on dimension, the following: Let Y be a Richardson variety and let Z be the union of all Richardson varieties that are divisors in Y. Then $\mathfrak{S}(Z)$ is the set of all Richardson varieties contained in Z. This is trivial for 0-dimensional Richardson varieties, so now choose $v, w \in W$ with $v < w$ and assume that the induction hypothesis holds for all Richardson varieties of dimension $< l(w) - l(v)$. We need to check the following:

(∗) For all Richardson divisors D in X_w^v, and for any Richardson divisor E of D, there is a Richardson divisor D' of X_w^v such that E is an irreducible component of $D' \cap D$.

Now, the Richardson divisors of X_w^v are the X_w^a for all $a > v$ with $l(a) = l(v) + 1$ and the X_b^v for all $b < w$ with $l(b) = l(w) - 1$. We'll verify that (∗) holds in the case $D = X_b^v$; a similar argument (or translation by w_0) will then give (∗) for the case $D = X_w^a$.

Fix $b < w$ with $l(b) = l(w) - 1$. We first consider the divisor $X_{b'}^v$ of X_b^v for $b' < b$, $l(b') = l(b) - 1 = l(w) - 2$. By Lemma 10.3 in [2], there exists $x \in W$ with $x \neq b$ and $b' < x < w$. Hence $X_{b'}$ is an irreducible component of $X_b \cap X_x$, so that $X_{b'}^v$ is an irreducible component of $X_x^v \cap X_b^v$. Since X_x^v is a divisor in X_w^v, the result now follows for $X_{b'}^v$.

Now consider the divisor $X_b^{v'}$ of X_b^v for $v' > v$, $l(v') = l(v) + 1$. Then

$$X_w^{v'} \cap X_b^v \;=\; X_w \cap X_b \cap X^{v'} \cap X^v \;=\; X_b^{v'}\,.$$

Since $X_w^{v'}$ is a divisor in X_w^v, the result now follows, and the proof is complete. □

We now have the main result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\psi \in H^0\big(G/B,\, \omega_{G/B}^{1-p}\big)$ be the unique B-canonical splitting of G/B. Then a closed subvariety of G/B is compatibly split by ψ if and only if it is a union of Richardson varieties.*

Proof. This is immediate from Theorems 2.11, 3.2, and 3.3. □

References

1. *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1992, Papers from the Second Summer Seminar on Algebraic Geometry held at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 1991, Astérisque No. 211 (1992).
2. I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand, *Differential operators on the base affine space and a study of* $\mathfrak{g}$*-modules*, Lie groups and their representations (Proc. Summer School, Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971), Halsted, New York, 1975, pp. 21–64.
3. M. Brion and S. Kumar, *Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, no. 231, Birkhäuser Boston, 2005.
4. M. Brion and V. Lakshmibai, *A geometric approach to standard monomial theory*, Represent. Theory **7** (2003), 651–680 (electronic).
5. S. Kumar and V. B. Mehta, *Finiteness of the number of compatibly split subvarieties*, arXiv:0901.2098.
6. O. Mathieu, *Filtrations of G-modules*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **23** (1990), no. 4, 625–644.
7. V. B. Mehta and A. Ramanathan, *Frobenius splitting and cohomology vanishing for Schubert varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), no. 1, 27–40.
8. A. Ramanathan, *Equations defining Schubert varieties and Frobenius splitting of diagonals*, Publ. Math. IHES **65** (1987), 61–90.
9. R. W. Richardson, *Intersections of double cosets in algebraic groups*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **3** (1992), no. 1, 69–77.
10. K. Schwede and K. Tucker, *On the number of compatibly Frobenius split subvarieties, prime F-ideals, and log canonical centers*, arXiv:0903.4112. To appear in Annales de l'institut Fourier.
11. W. van der Kallen, *Lectures on Frobenius splittings and B-modules*, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1993, Notes by S. P. Inamdar.